

Advertising and marketing claims – registration and regulation forum

Dr Robert Fendall Chair Osteopathy Board of Australia

Overview

Advertising:

- National Law
- Roles and responsibilities
- Revised Advertising guidelines
- New Social media policy -

Complaints:

- Types of complaints
- Risk assessment approach
- Self assessment approach



Advertising

Framework of National Law

- Based on title protection rather than practice restrictions or defined scopes of practice
- Very limited restricted practices in National Law –
 s. 123 manipulation of the cervical spine



Advertising requirements s. 133

- (1) A person must not advertise a regulated health service, or a business that provides a regulated health service, in a way that—
- (a) is false, misleading or deceptive or is likely to be misleading or deceptive; or
- (b) offers a gift, discount or other inducement to attract a person to use the service or the business, unless the advertisement also states the terms and conditions of the offer; or
- (c) uses testimonials or purported testimonials about the service or business; or
- (d) creates an unreasonable expectation of beneficial treatment; or
- (e) directly or indirectly encourages the indiscriminate or unnecessary use of regulated health services.



Regulated Health Professions – use common advertising guidelines and new social media policy

July 2010

- 1. Chiropractors
- 2. Dental care
- 3. Medical practitioners
- 4. Nurses and midwives
- 5. Optometrists
- 6. Osteopaths
- 7. Pharmacists
- 8. Physiotherapists
- 9. Podiatrists
- 10.Psychologists

July 2012

- Aboriginal and Torres
 Strait Islander health
 practitioners
- 2. Chinese medicine practitioners
- 3. Medical radiation practitioners
- 4. Occupational therapists



Guidelines for Advertising

Guidelines explain the s. 133 requirements in more detail

For example, advertising may be misleading if it

- creates unwarranted /unrealistic expectations about the effectiveness of services
- misleads, either directly, or by implication, use of emphasis, comparison, contrast or omission
- compares different regulated health professions where there is no evidence for comparison and/or in a way that may mislead or deceive
- claims that a profession's services are better, as safe as or safer than other professions



Guidelines for Advertising (cont)

Unreasonable expectations of beneficial treatment eg

- Exaggerating or providing incomplete or biased information
- Failing to disclose risks
- Containing unreasonable material that is likely to make a person believe their health or wellbeing may suffer
- Making unreasonable claims about treatment effectiveness



Social Media Policy

- New policy developed in response to increasing use of social media
- Policy explains how existing regulation applies to practitioners' use of social media and the internet
- Policy to be published in early 2014



Working together - advertising

National Boards

- Regulatory decisionmaking in the public interest
- Set registration requirements and standards, guidelines
- Oversees registration, and investigation of notifications
- Approve accreditation standards

AHPRA

- Supports the National Boards, including legal advice and action
- All advertising complaints are made to AHPRA as statutory offences
- AHPRA liaises with Boards and Committees
- Policy/communications advice about issues

^{*} except in NSW which has a co-regulatory arrangement in relation to management of notifications



Benefit of working together

- Stakeholders and public confidence in regulation
- National approach and consistency
- Cross profession consultation and collaboration
- Consistent and accurate message to public
- Consistent response to campaigns
- Economies of scale and efficiencies
- Osteopathy Board draws on resources of AHPRA in dealing with advertising issues – legal; policy; risk management; communications; collaboration with other Boards



Complaints

Advertising risks

Two broad categories of advertising risks:

- Risk to the public from advertising that breaches the National Law
- Risk to public confidence in the regulatory scheme



Risk assessment approach

- Risk assessment approach involves a tailored regulatory response to identified risks
- Range of possible responses in addition to standard compliance action



Tools to assist compliance

- Boards publish explanatory material such as FAQs
- Questions for practitioners to self-assess their advertising eg
 - Are any claims I make factual and verifiable?
 - Is there any content in my advertising that could be misleading?
 - Am I well qualified in the areas of practice that I offer and promote?
 - Do I only claim treatment capacity for techniques that I am trained and competent to perform?
 - Do I only make claims for effective treatment where this can be verified by good quality evidence?
 - Do I exercise caution when providing scientific information because members of the public may have a limited understanding of that information? If I provide this information, is it clear and easy to understand?



More information

Osteopathy Board of

- Website <u>www.osteopathyboard.gov.au</u>
- Questions and correspondence

Dr Robert Fendall

Osteopathy Board of Australia

Australian Health Practitioner Regulation Agency

GPO Box 9958

Melbourne, Victoria, AUSTRALIA 3001

Email: cathy.woodward@ahpra.gov.au